

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Hazardous Materials



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jhazmat

Conversion of CHF_3 to $CH_2=CF_2$ via reaction with CH_4 in the presence of $CBrF_3$: An experimental and kinetic modelling study

Wenfeng Han, Eric M. Kennedy*, John C. Mackie, Bogdan Z. Dlugogorski

Process Safety and Environment Protection Research Group, PRCfE School of Engineering, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 February 2010 Accepted 5 April 2010 Available online 13 April 2010

Keywords: Trifluoromethane Greenhouse gas Methane Halon Vinylidene fluoride Hydrofluorocarbon

1. Introduction

Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) are widely used as substitutes for halons, chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs), although there is concern over the high global emission of HFCs, which is estimated to reach 5.5 million tonnes by 2015 [1]. During the 2009 Copenhagen Climate Summit, USA and a number of other attendee countries suggested that the phase-out plan implemented for CFCs and HCFCs should be considered for application to HFCs. In particular, the EU concluded that new incentives for HFC-23 destruction should be found, and the existing clean development mechanism (CMD) projects should be honoured as outlined in EU Council Conclusions on EU Position for the Copenhagen Climate Conference [2]. HFC-23 (CHF₃) is the second most potent greenhouse gas, whose global warming potential (GWP) is 11,700 times higher than CO₂. According to the UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change), around 20 projects have been registered for the oxidative destruction of CHF₃ under the clean development mechanism (CDM) by 2009 which aims to reduce CHF₃ emissions by about 82.6 million tonnes of equivalent CO₂ [3]. However, the oxidative processes operate at temperatures as high as 1473 K and produce large quantities of HF which requires additional treatment.

Recently, technologies such as catalytic decomposition and thermal pyrolysis have been proposed for the destruction of

ABSTRACT

Gas-phase reaction of CHF₃ (HFC 23) with CH₄ in the presence of CBrF₃ (halon 1301) to produce CH₂=CF₂ (VDF) is presented. Experiments were carried out in a plug-flow reactor at temperatures between 873 and 1173 K. Under these conditions, CH₂=CF₂ was a dominant product observed, with CH₂F₂, C₂H₂, CH₂CHF, C₂F₄, C₂H₆ and CHFCF₂ also detected. In the presence of less than 6000 ppm of CBrF₃, the rate of formation of CH₂=CF₂ is significantly enhanced, and a much lower rate of formation of the major by-product, C₂F₄ is observed. Further increasing the proportion of CBrF₃ in the feed resulted in an even higher rate of formation of CH₂=CF₂. Experimental results are fitted very well by a model derived from the NIST and GRI-Mech 3.0 mechanisms, modified to include additional published kinetic data.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

CHF₃ [4–8]. Alternatively, we have discovered that CCl_2F_2 , $CBrClF_2$, $CHClF_2$ and CHF_3 can be converted into the unsaturated hydrofluorocarbon, $CH_2=CF_2$ via reaction with CH_4 or CH_3Br [9–13]. Vinylidene difluoride, $CH_2=CF_2$, is a monomer for the synthesis of poly(vinylidene difluoride), pVDF which is a thermoplastic that exhibits a variety of piezo-, pyro- and ferroelectrical properties, is inert to various solvents, oils, acids and shows low permeabilities to gases and liquids [14]. Other fluoroelastomers, produced by co-polymerising VDF with other monomers, are finding favour as performance requirements become more stringent and demanding. Fluoroelastomers offer the advantages of long-term, maintenance-free service in severe environments and more reliable protection of the environment [15].

Commercial synthesis of the VDF monomer involves the gaseous pyrolysis of CH₃CF₂Cl, CH₂ClCF₂Cl, CH₃CF₃ and the reaction of CH₃CHF₂ with Cl₂ at temperatures between 573 and 1273 K [16]. The challenges associated with the preparation of these C₂ sources significantly increase the cost of production of CH₂=CF₂.

As previously reported, CHF_3 can be converted to VDF (vinyl difluoride), CH_2 = CF_2 , via gas-phase reaction with CH_4 [11]. However, low CH_4 conversion levels inhibit a high subsequent yield of VDF. In order to activate CH_4 , $CaBr_2$ was introduced to the reaction mixture because it possesses a relatively weak Ca-Br bond [10]. However, the results showed that $CaBr_2$ can react with CHF_3 as well as CH_4 at elevated temperatures. In the present study, $CBrF_3$ is chosen as a promoter for the reaction of CHF_3 with CH_4 . As $CBrF_3$ has a relatively weak C-Br bond and can provide Br radicals at relatively low temperatures, it is expected that the Br radicals can activate

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +61 2 4985 4422; fax: +61 2 4921 6893. *E-mail address*: eric.kennedy@newcastle.edu.au (E.M. Kennedy).

^{0304-3894/\$ -} see front matter © 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.04.011

Condition	Reaction	Input molar flow rate (mmol h^{-1})	Temperature (K)
1	$CH_4 + CHF_3$	N ₂ : 200 CH ₄ : 18.4 CHF ₃ : 17.6	873–1173
2	CH ₄ pyrolysis	N ₂ : 200 CH ₄ : 18.2	873-1173
3	CHF ₃ pyrolysis	N ₂ : 200 CHF ₃ : 18.1	873-1173
4	CH ₄ + CHF ₃ + CBrF ₃	N ₂ : 200 CH ₄ : 18.6 CHF ₃ : 17.4 CBrF ₃ : 1.4	873–1173
5	CH ₄ + CHF ₃ + CBrF ₃	N ₂ : 200 CH ₄ : 19.45 CHF ₃ : 18.4 CBrF ₃ : 7.31 or 16.4	1073

P = 1.01 bar, residence time = 0.5 s.

CH₄ readily [17–20], facilitating the formation of CH₃ radicals and thus improving the yield of CH₂=CF₂.

2. Experimental details

This experimental facility has been described in detail elsewhere [18,21]. Briefly, the apparatus consists of a tubular, high purity (99.99%) 7.0 mm ID alumina reactor. Carbon-containing products were identified by a GC/MS (Shimadzu QP5000) equipped with an AT-Q column, and quantified with a micro GC (Varian CP-2003) equipped with molecular sieve 5A and PoraPLOT Q columns. Relative molar response (RMR) factors of hydrocarbons for TCD detection were experimentally obtained from standard gas mixtures, and quantification of halogenated hydrocarbons was performed with diluted halogenated hydrocarbons in nitrogen. Other species where standard gas mixture was not available were estimated from published correlations [22].

The feed gas was composed of nitrogen (99.999%, BOC), CH₄ (99.97%, Linde), CHF₃ (>98%, Coregas) and CBrF₃ (98.5%, 1.4% N₂, Coregas). All gases were metered with mass flow rate controllers (Brooks). Prior to the effluent gas reaching the GC, mineral acids and moisture were captured by a caustic scrubber and sodium hydroxide (in the form of pellets) trap. The concentration of the trapped hydrogen halides formed during the reaction was determined with an ion chromatograph (Dionex-100) equipped with an Ion-Pac AS14A column (4×250 mm).

The reaction conditions explored in this investigation are summarised in Table 1.

3. Chemical kinetic modelling

The reactions involving CHF₃, CH₄ and CBrF₃ during the gasphase have been modelled using the computer code, "Plug-Flow Reactor", available in the commercial software package Cosilab. It is a comprehensive tool used for numerical simulation of a variety of chemically reactive flows [23]. Typically, the simulation involves the use of an existing chemical kinetic mechanism representing gas-phase reactions, although in some cases, surface reactions are also included. For the current investigations, relevant energy balance equations were neglected because all experiments were conducted under essentially isothermal conditions. In separate experiments, α -alumina chips (the same material as that used for the reactor) were charged into the reactor. It was found that α -alumina chips had a negligible effect on the reactions, and therefore, no surface reactions were considered or included in surface mechanism.

The elementary reaction scheme used in this study is based on the NIST mechanism, which comprises two distinct reaction schemes: (1) fluorocarbons (HFCs) and (2) bromofluorocarbons (CBrF₃) [24] for fluorocarbon reactions as well as the GRI-Mech 3.0 mechanism [25] combined with the other literature [11,26] for hydrocarbon reactions. The complete mechanism used in the present study includes 350 reactions and 72 species.

Sensitivity and pathway analysis were performed using the sensitivity analysis code and reaction pathway analysis code available in the Cosilab software. Sensitivity and pathway analysis can enhance the understanding of reaction mechanisms and identify rate-limiting steps.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Experimental results

The gas-phase reaction of CHF₃ with CH₄ was examined under condition (1) as outlined in Table 1. Similar to previous research results [11], the reaction commences at roughly 1023 K with CH₂=CF₂, C₂F₄ and HF detected as major products, and C₂H₂, CH₂F₂, C₂H₃F, C₂HF₃ and C₃F₆ as minor products (see Table S1, Supplementary data). Even at temperatures as high as 1113 K, the conversion level of CH₄ is below 10%, as shown in Fig. 1. Under the same reaction conditions, a conversion level of 30% for CHF₃ is achieved. Separate CH₄ pyrolysis experiments, conducted under condition 2 in Table 1, illustrate how stable CH₄ is even at temperatures as high as 1173 K. There is no detectable conversion of CH₄ and subsequently no reaction products are observed for all the pyrolysis experiments.

With the aim of enhancing the rate of reaction between CH_4 and CHF_3 , it is critical to explore ways which facilitate activation of CH_4 . Following the study of reaction of CHF_3 with CH_4 , relatively small amounts of $CBrF_3$ (less than 10% of the level of CH_4 in the feed) were introduced into the reactor with the aim of using it as an initiator for CH_4 activation. Fig. 1 shows the level of conversion of CH_4 , CHF_3 and $CBrF_3$ as a function of temperature (as described under condition 4 in Table 1). Compared with the reaction of CH_4 increased considerably upon addition of the $CBrF_3$ to the feed CH_4/CHF_3 . In the absence of $CBrF_3$, conversion of CH_4 is detected at 1023 K and increases slowly with temperature, although the CHF_3 conversion level remains essentially unchanged except for a small increase between 1013 and 1113 K.

In a 1:13 feed with CH_4 , $CBrF_3$ starts to decompose at relatively low temperatures, and almost complete conversion of $CBrF_3$ is achieved at temperatures above 1073 K. This is similar to previous studies of the reaction of $CBrF_3$ with CH_4 [26]. Decomposition of $CBrF_3$ is also enhanced by its reaction with CH_4 and CH_3 radicals. In the absence of CH_4 , the conversion level of $CBrF_3$ is roughly 20% at 1073 K.

Fig. 2 presents the rate of formation of carbon-containing products as a function of temperature under conditions 1 and 4 in Table 1. Reaction products include $CH_2=CF_2$, C_2F_4 , C_2H_2 , CH_2F_2 , CH_2CHF , $CHFCF_2$ and trace amounts of C_3F_6 and CHF_2CHF_2 were also detected (for details, see Table S2, Supplementary data). At higher temperatures, a number of unidentified species were detected and quantified, assuming their molar response factors are equal to that of $CH_2=CF_2$. In addition, CH_3Br formed as a minor product following the introduction of $CBrF_3$ into the reaction feed gas streams.

For both conditions, $CH_2 = CF_2$ is the dominant product, although $CBrF_3$ enhances its rate of formation significantly. Upon addition



Fig. 1. Conversion levels of CHF₃ and CH₄ under condition 1 (solid symbols) and condition 4 (open symbols) listed in Table 1, each as a function of temperature.

of CBrF₃ to the feed stream, the rate of formation of $CH_2=CF_2$ increased significantly at low temperatures but to a lesser extent at higher temperatures. Another notable feature observed upon addition of CBrF₃ is the lower rate of formation of C_2F_4 compared with the reaction in the absence of CBrF₃. Clearly, dimerization of CF₂ radicals is suppressed under condition 2 (where CBrF₃ is present). A similar trend is observed for the formation of CHFCF₂ and the formation of C_2H_2 , C_2H_4 and CF₂CHF are also favoured in the presence of CBrF₃.

Formation of $CH_2=CF_2$ was also observed (as a minor product) during the reaction of CH_4 with $CBrF_3$ [26]. However, the contribution of $CBrF_3$ into $CH_2=CF_2$ in the present experiments is insignificant, even at elevated temperatures (see Supplementary data, Fig. SI). Furthermore, there are only trace amounts of $CBrF_3$ in our investigation, and therefore, the rate of direct conversion of $CBrF_3$ to $CH_2=CF_2$ is negligible.

Even higher conversion levels of CH_4 and formation rates $CH_2=CF_2$ can be achieved with a further increase in the concentration of $CBrF_3$ in the feed (condition 5 in Table 1), as shown in Fig. 3. The reaction of $CBrF_3$ with CH_4 produces CHF_3 [26], and as a result, higher concentrations of CHF_3 in the outlet than inlet was observed under high $CBrF_3/CH_4$ feed ratios.

4.2. Mechanistic analysis and modelling

The existing NIST and GRI-Mech 3.0 mechanisms, combined with other recent literature [11,26] are used to model the reactions. Generally, good agreement between model predictions and experiments were achieved for the conversion of reactants and for the rates of formation of major and minor species. As shown in Fig. 1, the conversion of CHF_3 and CH_4 is satisfactorily predicted by modified NIST mechanism. Comparing the experimental results undertaken under conditions 1, 3 and 4, similar levels of CHF_3 conversion were observed which suggests that the initial reaction involves the decomposition of CHF₃. It is generally argued that CHF₃ decomposes through elimination of HF (R1), a process requiring relatively higher temperatures (>1023 K), with an activation energy in the range of 230–302 kJ mol⁻¹ [6,27,28]. This is consistent with our observation that conversion of CHF₃ commences at 1023 K:

$$CHF_3 \rightarrow CF_2 + HF$$
 (R1)

As shown in Fig. 1, in excess of 70% of $CBrF_3$ is converted at 1023 K and the conversion levels increase further until close to 100% is achieved above 1073 K. This is consistent with the presence of a labile C–Br bond in $CBrF_3$ [29] compared with the other reactants, CH_4 and CHF_3 , and as such, $CBrF_3$ decomposes first producing CF_3 and Br radicals (R2) before CH_4 or CHF_3 reacts:

$$\operatorname{CBr}F_3 \to \operatorname{CF}_3 + \operatorname{Br}$$
 (R2)

Br can then react with CHF₃ [30–32] and CH₄ [32,33], which subsequently initiates a series of chain reactions. No notable enhancement or inhibition of the conversion level of CHF₃ was observed following CBrF₃ (Fig. 1) addition, whereas CH₄ conversion levels are enhanced significantly even at low temperatures, possibly due to the following reaction:

$$CH_4 + Br \rightarrow CH_3 + HBr$$
 (R3)

The activation energy of reaction (R3) (76 kJ mol⁻¹) is only slightly lower than that of reaction (R4) (88 kJ mol⁻¹), so the difference in conversion levels between CH₄ and CHF₃ is not a result of the difference in their reaction activation energies:

$$CHF_3 + Br \rightarrow CF_3 + HBr \tag{R4}$$

Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 1, the conversion level of CHF₃ is slightly lower between 973 and 1133 K following the addition of CBrF₃. We suggest that apparent decrease in CHF₃ conversion



Fig. 2. Rates of formation of products under condition 1 (solid symbols) and condition 4 (open symbols) listed in Table 1, each as a function of temperature.

is a result of the reaction of CF_3 radicals with CH_4 [34]. During the reaction of $CBrF_3$ with CH_4 , CHF_3 was also found as a major product [18,26] and thus, in the presence of $CBrF_3$, CHF_3 conversion is lower than the reaction in the absence of $CBrF_3$ as it is a product of the reaction of $CBrF_3$ with CH_4 :

The desired reaction product, $CH_2=CF_2$ can be formed through reactions (R6)–(R10). In our previous computational studies, it was shown that there is essentially no stabilisation of the intermediate, CF_2CH_3 for reaction (R6) and that the reaction between CF_2 and CH_3 leads solely to the production of CH_2CF_2 and elemental hydrogen [35]:

$$CF_3 + CH_4 \rightarrow CHF_3 + CH_3$$
 (R5)

$$CH_3 + CF_2 \rightarrow CH_2CF_2 + H \tag{R6}$$



Fig. 3. Conversion of CH_4 and CHF_3 (a) and CH_2CF_2 formation rate (b) as a function of $CBrF_3$ to CH_4 ratio in the feed. *C* and *C*₀ is the concentration of CHF_3 in the outlet and inlet, respectively.

$$CH_3 + CF_3 \rightarrow CH_2CF_2 + HF \tag{R7}$$

 $CH_3CF_3 \rightarrow CH_2CF_2 + HF$ (R8)

 $CF_3 + CH_2 \rightarrow CH_2 CF_2 + F \tag{R9}$

$$CH_2 + CF_2 \rightarrow CH_2CF_2$$
 (R10)

The other reactions involving CF_3 and CH_2 radicals play a relatively minor role in the formation of $CH_2=CF_2$, due to the relatively low concentration of CF_3 and CH_2 radicals in the absence of $CBrF_3$. In the presence of $CBrF_3$, in addition to the direct decomposition of $CBrF_3$ (R2), CF_3 can be derived from CHF_3 .

To identify the primary reactions influencing $CH_2=CF_2$ formation in this system, sensitivity analysis was carried out using the Cosilab software and the results of this analysis are shown in Fig. 4. As expected, the elimination of HF from CHF_3 (R1) shows significant sensitivity for the formation of $CH_2=CF_2$, irrespective of $CBrF_3$ being present or absent from the reaction. However, in the absence of $CBrF_3$, $CH_2=CF_2$ formation depends strongly on the dissociation of CF_2 on the reactor surface into carbon and fluorine (R13), according to the mechanism proposed by Yu et al. [11]. Through this pathway, surface-generated fluorine is believed to be the main contributor to CH_4 activation. However, the contribution of (R11) is highly dependent on the availability of free CF_2 , as CF_2 can readily dimerize under some reaction conditions:

$$CF_{2(s)} \rightarrow C_{(s)} + F_{(s)} + F_{(s)}$$
 (R11a)

$$F_{(s)} \rightarrow F_{(g)}$$
 (R11b)



Fig. 4. Sensitivity coefficients of CH_2CF_2 molar fraction for reactions (1) CHF_3 with CH_4 and $CBrF_3$, and (2) CHF_3 with CH_4 at 1073 K.

In the presence of $CBrF_3$, the role of CF_2 dissociation is replaced by reaction (R12):

$$CH_4 + H \rightarrow CH_3 + H_2$$
 (R12)

This reaction has been investigated theoretically and experimentally [36–39]. The reaction activation energy suggested by different researchers is generally between 30 and 50 kJ mol⁻¹, and is markedly lower than thermal, gas-phase dissociation of CH₄, where an activation energy of 400 kJ mol⁻¹ for dissociation of CH₄ into CH₃ and H has been reported [40].

The analysis presented in Fig. 4 confirms the importance of reaction (R6) as the predominant pathway for the formation of $CH_2=CF_2$ while reactions (R7)–(R10) play a relatively minor role in its formation. It can be noted that reaction (R13) inhibits formation of $CH_2=CF_2$, as disclosed by sensitivity analysis, following addition of $CBrF_3$. As discussed above, H is the main contributor to the activation of CH_4 via reaction (R14), while large amounts of H are consumed by reaction (R13). As a result, a negative sensitivity for reaction (R13) is observed on $CBrF_3$ addition:

$$CF_2 + H \rightarrow CF + HF$$
 (R13)

Based on this assessment, the predicted rate of formation of $CH_2=CF_2$ agrees well with experimental results in the absence of $CBrF_3$, although $CH_2=CF_2$ concentration is slightly over predicted following the introduction of $CBrF_3$. According to the sensitivity analysis undertaken, the chief difference between the two cases is associated with the changing influence of reactions (R6) and (R12).

The observed sharp increase in the rate of formation of C_2H_2 following addition of CBrF₃ is a result of the contribution from the chain reactions initiated by Br and CF₃. C_2H_2 is formed via the dehydrogenation of C_2H_4 and C_2H_6 . The model under predicts the rate of formation of C_2H_2 over the entire temperature range, and in the present experiments, it is also formed by reaction of other F-containing intermediates such as CH₂CHF [41], CHF and CHF:CH. Unfortunately, the lack of a detailed reaction mechanism which

includes the reactions of these species results in an under prediction in the rate of formation of C_2H_2 in our model. Sensitivity analysis discloses that the following reactions are most sensitive for formation of C_2H_4 and C_2H_2 .

$$CF_3Br \rightarrow CF_3 + Br$$
 (R2)

$$CH_4 + Br \rightarrow CH_3Br + H$$
 (R3)

$$CF_3 + CH_4 \rightarrow CHF_3 + CH_3$$
 (R5)

$$CH_4 + H \rightarrow CH_3 + H_2 \tag{R12}$$

 $CH_3Br + H \rightarrow CH_3 + HBr$ (R14)

$$CH_3Br \rightarrow CH_3 + Br$$
 (R15)

$$2CH_3 \rightarrow C_2H_6 \tag{R16}$$

 $C_2H_6 + H \rightarrow C_2H_5 + H_2 \tag{R17}$

$$C_2H_5 \to C_2H_4 + H \tag{R18}$$

$$C_2H_4 + H \rightarrow C_2H_3 + H_2$$
 (R19)

$$C_2H_3 \rightarrow C_2H_2 \tag{R20}$$

One striking difference between the reactions in the absence and presence of CBrF₃ is the rate of formation of C₂F₄. In the case where CBrF₃ is present, only trace amounts of C₂F₄ are detected. In both cases, C₂F₄ is formed through the dimerization of CF₂. It is believed that the subsequent concentration of CF2 formed as a result of HF elimination from CHF₃ (R1) should be similar in the two cases. However, in the presence of CBrF₃, the concentration of CH₃ radicals which can consume CF_2 such as (R6) and (R7) is much higher. It is estimated that rate constant for CF₂ dimerization is roughly $4 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ [42,43]. Previous studies have shown that the rate constant for $CF_2 + CH_3 \rightarrow CH_2 = CF_2 + H$ can be well approximated by the expression $2 \times 10^{13} T^{-0.207} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is significantly higher than that of CF₂ dimerization [35] and thus in the case where CBrF₃ is present, the rate of activation of CH₄, is enhanced, the concentration of CH₃ is increased and thus the rate of formation of $CH_2 = CF_2$ is higher.

As illustrated in Fig. 2, small amounts of CHFCF₂ and CH₂CHF are detected at elevated temperatures. Romelaer et al. studied the gasphase pyrolysis of CHClF₂ and CHF₃ in the presence of hydrogen [28]. They proposed that CF₂, which is formed by decomposition of CHF₃ and CHClF₂, reacts with hydrogen to produce CHF₂, which then reacts with H₂ to produce CH₂F₂. However, in the present study, we suggest that CHFCF₂ is formed via the combination of two CHF₂ radicals [44]. In addition, other possible pathways include substitution of F by H and reaction of CHF₂ with CF₂ as shown in reaction (R22) and (R23) can also contribute to its formation:

$$2CHF_2 \rightarrow CHFCF_2 + HF$$
 (R21)

 $CF_2: CF_2 + H \rightarrow CHFCF_2 + F$ (R22)

$$CHF_2 + CF_2 \rightarrow CHFCF_2 + F \tag{R23}$$

Alternatively, CHF_2 radicals can also react with CH_3 , forming CH_3CHF_2 , which is believed to be the precursor of CH_2CHF via reaction (R24). The increase in $CHFCF_2$ and CH_2CHF concentration following $CBrF_3$ addition is ascribed to the activation of CH_4 by Br and CF_3 . Therefore, reactions (R22) and (R24) are enhanced as a result of the increased concentration of CH_3 and H derived from CH_4 :

$$CH_3CHF_2 \rightarrow CH_2CHF + H$$
 (R24)



Fig. 5. Reaction pathways leading to formation of carbon-containing products during the reaction of CHF₃ with CH₄ in the presence of CBrF₃.

4.3. Reaction pathway analysis

Based on the data obtained from the experimental work and the mechanistic analysis, the reaction pathways leading to the formation of carbon-containing products during reaction of CHF₃ with CH₄ in the presence of CBrF₃ are assessed and summarised in Fig. 5. Only the most significant pathways are presented in the figure. The lack of elementary reaction steps involving some fluorinecontaining species (which contribute the formation of products such as CH₂F₂, C₂H₂, CH₂CHF and CHFCF₂) from the mechanism compromises the analysis significantly. As a result, some discrepancies were observed between modelling and experimental results.

5. Conclusions

Reaction of CHF_3 with CH_4 leading to the formation of CH_2CF_2 in the absence and presence of $CBrF_3$ was studied experimentally and modelled kinetically. The study reveals that rate of formation of the target product, $CH_2=CF_2$ is improved dramatically following the addition of trace amounts of $CBrF_3$ to the feed. Compared with the neat reaction of CHF_3 with CH_4 , the addition of $CBrF_3$ enhances the conversion of CH_4 but not that of CHF_3 which results the higher yield of $CH_2=CF_2$ and lower rate of formation of the by-product, C_2F_4 . Higher $CH_2=CF_2$ formation rates can be achieved with an increase of the concentration of $CBrF_3$ in the feed.

Experimental results are modelled using a mechanism based on the NIST, GRI-Mech 3.0 mechanisms, in combination with other literature. Sensitivity analysis discloses that reactions such as the decomposition of CH_3 into CF_2 , the decomposition of CH_4 into CH_3 and the reaction of CH_3 with CF_2 are the most sensitive steps for the formation of CH_2 = CF_2 . Finally, the reaction pathways leading to the formation of carbon-containing products during reaction of CHF_3 with CH_4 in the presence of $CBrF_3$ are elucidated.

Acknowledgements

The Australian Research Council is gratefully acknowledged for financial support for this project. W.H. is indebted to the Department of Education, Science and Training (DEST) of the Australian Government and the University of Newcastle, Australia for postgraduate scholarships.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.04.011.

References

- P. Ashford, D. Clodic, A. McCulloch, L. Kuijpers, Emission profiles from the foam and refrigeration sectors comparison with atmospheric concentrations. Part 2: results and discussion, Int. J. Refrig. 27 (2004) 701–716.
- [2] Council of the European Union, EU Council Conclusions on EU Position for The Copenhagen Climate Conference, 2009, http://www.eu-un.europa.eu/ articles/fr/article_9129_fr.htm.
- [3] UNFCCC, AM0001: Incineration of HFC 23 Waste Streams, 2006, http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/index.html.
- [4] W.B. Feaver, J.A. Rossin, The catalytic decomposition of CHF₃ over ZrO₂-SO₄, Catal. Today 54 (1999) 13–22.
- [5] H. Onoda, T. Ohta, J. Tamaki, K. Kojima, Decomposition of trifluoromethane over nickel pyrophosphate catalysts containing metal cation, Appl. Catal. A 288 (2005) 98–103.
- [6] D.J. Moon, M.J. Chung, H. Kim, Y.S. Kwon, B.S. Ahn, Pyrolysis of trifluoromethane to produce hexafluoropropylene, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 41 (2002) 2895–2902.
- [7] G.C. Yang, S. Lei, R.M. Pan, H.D. Quan, Investigation of CF₂ carbene on the surface of activated charcoal in the synthesis of trifluoroiodomethane via vapor-phase catalytic reaction, J. Fluorine Chem. 130 (2009) 231–235.
- [8] G.-C. Yang, X.-Q. Jia, R.-M. Pan, H.-D. Quan, The disproportionation of CF₂ carbene in vapor-phase pyrolysis reaction over activated carbon and porous aluminum fluoride, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 309 (2009) 184–188.
- [9] H. Yu, E.M. Kennedy, J.C. Mackie, B.Z. Dlugogorski, Simultaneous conversion of CHClF₂ and CH₃Br to CH₂CF₂, Chemosphere 68 (2007) 2003–2006.
- [10] W.F. Han, H. Yu, E.M. Kennedy, J.C. Mackie, B.Z. Dlugogorski, Conversion of CHF₃ to CH₂=CF₂ via reaction with CH₄ and CaBr₂, Environ. Sci. Technol. 42 (2008) 5795–5799.
- [11] H. Yu, E.M. Kennedy, J.C. Mackie, B.Z. Dlugogorski, An experimental and kinetic modeling study of the reaction of CHF₃ with methane, Environ. Sci. Technol. 40 (2006) 5778–5785.
- [12] M.A. Úddin, E.M. Kennedy, B.Z. Dlugogorski, Gas-phase reaction of CCl₂F₂ (CFC-12) with methane, Chemosphere 53 (2003) 1189–1191.
- [13] R. Tran, E.M. Kennedy, B.Z. Dlugogorski, Gas-phase reaction of halon 1211 (CBrClF₂) with methane, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 40 (2001) 3139–3143.
- [14] B. Améduri, B. Boutevin, G. Kostov, Fluoroelastomers: synthesis, properties and applications, Prog. Polym. Sci. 26 (2001) 105–187.
- [15] A.L. Moore, Fluoroelastomers Handbook: The Definitive User's Guide and Databook, William Andrew Publishing, Norwich, 2005.
- [16] J.M. Rao, P.S. Rao, V. Vijayakumar, V.V. Rao, B. Narsaiah, S.N. Reddy, K.L. Krishna, K. Srinivas, K. Radhakrishnan, K.S. Patil, Effect of acid strength of co-precipitated chromia alumina catalyst on the conversion and selectivity in the fluorination of 2-chloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane to 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane, J. Fluorine Chem. 95 (1999) 177–180.
- [17] Y. Hidaka, T. Nakamura, H. Kawano, CBrF₃-H₂ reaction in shock waves, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 25 (1993) 983–993.
- [18] K. Li, E.M. Kennedy, B.Z. Dlugogorski, Gas-phase reaction of halon 1301 (CBrF₃) with methane, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 38 (1999) 3345–3352.
- [19] F. Li, G. Yuan, F. Yan, F. Yan, Bromine-mediated conversion of methane to C1 oxygenates over Zn-MCM-41 supported mercuric oxide, Appl. Catal. A 335 (2008) 82–87.
- [20] I.M. Lorkovic, S.L. Sun, S. Gadewar, A. Breed, G.S. Macala, A. Sardar, S.E. Cross, J.H. Sherman, G.D. Stucky, P.C. Ford, Alkane bromination revisited: "reproportionation" in gas-phase methane bromination leads to higher selectivity for CH₃Br at moderate temperatures, J. Phys. Chem. A 110 (2006) 8695–8700.
- [21] H. Yu, E.M. Kennedy, M.A. Uddin, A.A. Adesina, B.Z. Dlugogorski, Conversion of halon 1211 (CBrClF₂) over supported Pd catalysts, Catal. Today 97 (2004) 205–215.
- [22] M.J. Height, E.M. Kennedy, B.Z. Dlugogorski, Thermal conductivity detection relative molar response factors for halogenated compounds, J. Chromatogr. A 841 (1999) 187–195.
- [23] Softpredict, Cosilab collection, in: Rolexo-Softpredict-Cosilab GmbH & Co KG, Bad Zwischenahn, Germany, 2007, www.Softpredict.com.

- [24] J.A. Manion, R.E. Huie, R.D. Levin, D.R. Burgess Jr., V.L. Orkin, W. Tsang, W.S. McGivern, J.W. Hudgens, V.D. Knyazev, D.B. Atkinson, E. Chai, A.M. Tereza, C.-Y. Lin, T.C. Allison, W.G. Mallard, F. Westley, J.T. Herron, R.F. Hampson, D.H. Frizzell, NIST Chemical Kinetics Database, NIST Standard Reference Database 17, Version 7.0 (Web Version) Release 1.4.3, Data Version 2008.12, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland, 2008, http://kinetics.nist.gov/.
- [25] G.P. Smith, D.M. Golden, M. Frenklach, N.W. Moriarty, B. Eiteneer, M. Goldenberg, C.T. Bowman, R.K. Hanson, S. Song, W.C. Gardiner, Jr., V.V. Lissianski, Z. Qin, Gri-mech 3.0, 1999, http://www.Me.Berkeley.Edu/gri_mech/.
- [26] K. Li, E.M. Kennedy, B.Z. Dlugogorski, Experimental and computational studies of the pyrolysis of CBrF₃, and the reaction of CBrF₃ with CH₄, Chem. Eng. Sci. 55 (2000) 4067–4078.
- [27] Y. Hidaka, T. Nakamura, H. Kawano, High temperature pyrolysis of CF₃H in shock waves, Chem. Phys. Lett. 187 (1991) 40–44.
- [28] R. Romelaer, V. Kruger, J.M. Baker, W.R. Dolbier, Pyrolyses of chlorodifluoromethane and trifluoromethane in the presence of hydrogen. Mechanism and optimization of reaction conditions, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123 (2001) 6767– 6772.
- [29] V. Babushok, T. Noto, D.R.F. Burgess, A. Hamins, W. Tsang, Influence of CF₃I, CF₃Br, and CF₃H on the high-temperature combustion of methane, Combust. Flame 107 (1996) 351–367.
- [30] A.M. Tarr, J.W. Coomer, E. Whittle, Bromination of fluoro-alkanes. Part 2: fluoromethanes and pentafluoroethane, Trans. Faraday Soc. 61 (1965) 1182–1193.
- [31] P. Corbett, A.M. Tarr, E. Whittle, Vapour-phase bromination of fluoroform and methane, Trans. Faraday Soc. 59 (1963) 1609–1618.
- [32] J.C. Amphlett, E. Whittle, Bromination of fluoroalkanes. IV. Kinetics of thermal bromination of fluoroform and pentafluoroethane, Trans. Faraday Soc. 64 (1968) 2130–2142.
- [33] G.C. Fettis, J.H. Knox, A.F. Trotman-Dickenson, Reactions of bromine atoms with alkanes and methyl halides, J. Chem. Soc. (1960) 4177–4185.
- [34] H. Carmichael, H.S. Johnston, Correlation of activation energies and bond energies in CF₃ reactions, J. Chem. Phys. 41 (1964) 1975–1982.
- [35] H. Yu, J.C. Mackie, E.M. Kennedy, B.Z. Dlugogorski, Experimental and quantum chemical study of the reaction CF₂ + CH₃ ↔ CF₂CH₃ → CH₂=CF₂ + H: a key mechanism in the reaction between methane and fluorocarbons, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 45 (2006) 3758–3762.
- [36] T. Wu, H.J. Werner, U. Manthe, Accurate potential energy surface and quantum reaction rate calculations for the $H + CH_4 \rightarrow H_2 + CH_3$ reaction, J. Chem. Phys. 124 (2006) 164307.
- [37] W.F. Hu, G. Lendvay, D. Troya, G.C. Schatz, J.P. Camden, H.A. Bechtel, D.J.A. Brown, M.R. Martin, R.N. Zare, H+CD₄ abstraction reaction dynamics: product energy partitioning, J. Phys. Chem. A 110 (2006) 3017–3027.
- [38] J.P. Camden, H.A. Bechtel, D.J.A. Brown, R.N. Zare, Effects of C-H stretch excitation on the H+CH₄ reaction, J. Chem. Phys. 123 (2005) 134301.
 [39] J.W. Sutherland, M.C. Su, J.V. Michael, Rate constants for H+CH₄, CH₃+H₂,
- [39] J.W. Sutherland, M.C. Su, J.V. Michael, Rate constants for H+CH₄, CH₃+H₂, and CH₄ dissociation at high temperature, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 33 (2001) 669– 684.
- [40] D.F. Davidson, R.K. Hanson, C.T. Bowman, Revised values for the rate coefficients of ethane and methane decomposition, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 27 (1995) 305–308.
- [41] H. Xu, J.H. Kiefer, R. Sivaramakrishnan, B.R. Giri, R.S. Tranter, Shock tube study of dissociation and relaxation in 1,1-difluoroethane and vinyl fluoride, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 9 (2007) 4164–4176.
- [42] R.I. Martinez, R.É. Huie, J.T. Herron, W. Braun, Infrared-laser photolysis/mass spectrometry. A technique for the real-time study of free-radical kinetics, and its application to the reaction 2CF₂ → C₂F₄, J. Phys. Chem. 84 (1980) 2344–2347.
- [43] S.K. Sarkar, D.K. Palit, K.V.S. Rao, Real-time observation of difluoromethylene (:CF₂) formation in the infrared multiple-photon dissociation of fluoroform-d, Chem. Phys. Lett. 131 (1986) 303–309.
- [44] N.N. Buravtsev, A.S. Grigorev, Y.A. Kolbanovskii, A.A. Ovsyannikov, Intermediates and initial stages in pyrolysis of ethanes: CF₃CH₂F, CHF₂CHF₂, and CF₃CH₂Cl, Dokl. Akad. Nauk 339 (1994) 616–620.